Members were not very material. I submit in conclusion that there was no justification for the Hon'ble Members to be so bitter as they were against the Public Service Commission.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The House now rises and will meet after half an hour.

The House adjourned for recess at Eight Minutes past Three of the Clock and re-assembled at Forty Minutes past Three of the Clock.

(MR. SPEAKER in the Chair)

MYSORE COFFEE HUSK CONTROL REPEAL BILL, 1959.

Motion to consider.

Sri B. D. JATTI (Chief Minister).—I beg to move:

"That the Mysore Coffee Husk Control Repeal Bill, 1959, as passed by the Legislative Council, be taken into consideration."

Mr. SPEAKER.—Motion moved:

"That the Mysore Coffee Husk Control Repeal Bill, 1959, as passed by the Legislative Council, be taken into consideration."

Sri B. D. JATTI.—Sir, there are two Bills in force in the Old Mysore area and in the Coorg area. Now, there is no uniformity regarding this Bill in the Mysore area. Secondly such types of Bills are not in vogue in other States where it is necessary to have such a Bill. Recently, the Government of India have decontrolled coffee husk. So after consulting all the persons connected with the Coffee Board and other Departments, who have unanimously suggested that it is not necessary to have such a type of Bill or Act in force, it has been proposed that these Acts should be repealed.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The question is:

"That the Mysore Coffee Husk Control Repeal Bill, 1959, as passed by the Legislative Council, be taken into consideration."

The motion was adopted.

Mr. SPEAKER.—As there are no amendments, all the clauses will be put to the House. The question is;

"That clauses 1, 2 and the Title and the Preamble stand part of the Bill."

The motion was adopted.

Clauses 1, 2 and the Title and the Preamble were added to the Bill.

Motion to pass.

Sri B. D. JATTI.—I beg to move:

"That the Mysore Coffee Husk Control Repeal Bill, 1959, as passed by the Legislative Council, be passed."

Mr. SPEAKER.—The question is:

"That the Mysore Coffee Husk Control Repeal Bill, 1959, as passed by the Legislative Council, be passed."

The motion was adopted.

MYSORE PREVENTION OF ANIMAL SACRIFICES BILL, 1959.

Motion to consider.

Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA (Minister for Revenue).—I beg to move:

"That the Mysore Prevention of Animal Sacrifices Bill, 1959, as passed by the Legislative Council, be taken into consideration."

Mr. SPEAKER.—Motion moved:

"That the Mysore Prevention of Animal Sacrifices Bill, 1959, as passed by the Legislative Council, be taken into consideration."

†Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA.—Sir, this is a very simple but useful measure. In the old Mysore area and in the former Madras areas of the

(SRI KADIDAL MANJAPPA)

State, there is a law which prevents the sacrifices of animals in the name of God or Goddesses. The present Bill is introduced with a view to enact a similar law having application throughout the State. According to the provisions of the Bill, sacrifice in the temple or its precincts is to be prohibited. 'Animal' has been defined as 'animal includes birds'. Hon'ble Members are aware that in some places this practice of sacrificing animals in the temples or near the temples is in vogue. No doubt the majority of the people in this country are non-vegetarians. But to kill animals or birds in the name of God and with a view to satisfy God is bad. Therefore, the Bill is very useful. I commend the Bill for the kind consideration of the House.

MR. SPEAKER.—The question is:

"That the Mysore Prevention of Animal Sacrifices Bill, 1959, as passed by the Legislative Council, be taken into consideration."

The motion was adopted.

MR. SPEAKER.—As there are no amendments, I will put all the clauses to the House. The question is:

"That clauses 1 to 9, both inclusive, and the Title and the Preamble stand part of the Bill."

The motion was adopted.

Clauses 1 to 9, both inclusive, and the Title and the Preamble were added to the Bill.

Motion to pass.

SRI KADIDAL MANJAPPA.—I beg to move:

"That the Mysore Prevention of Animal Sacrifices Bill, 1959, as passed by the Legislative Council, be passed."

MR. SPEAKER.—The question is:

"That the Mysore Prevention of Animal Sacrifices Bill, 1959, as passed by the Legislative Council, be passed."

The motion was adopted.

MYSORE INDUSTRIAL DISPUTES (AMENDMENT AND REPEALING) BILL, 1959.

Motion to consider.

SRI T. SUBRAMANYA (Minister for Law, Labour and Local Self-Government).—I beg to move:

"That the Mysore Industrial Disputes (Amendment and Repealing) Bill, 1959, as passed by the Legislative Council, be taken into consideration."

MR. SPEAKER.—Motion moved:

"That the Mysore Industrial Disputes (Amendment and Repealing) Bill, 1959, as passed by the Legislative Council, be taken into consideration."

†SRI T. SUBRAMANYA.—Sir, this is a very simple Bill. In different parts of the State, different enactments are in force. In Bombay area, the Bombay Industrial Relations Act is in force. In the rest of the places, it is the All India Act, *i.e.*, Industrial Disputes Act that is in force. Therefore, we want to repeal the Bombay Act and make the Central Act of 1947 applicable to the entire area. Two amendments are also there to the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947. One amendment was enacted by the then Madras Government. It was necessitated because they say in that amendment:

"Notwithstanding anything contained in sub-sections (1) and (2), where a Tribunal has been constituted under this Act for the adjudication of disputes in any specified industry or industries and a dispute exists or is apprehended in any such industry, the employer or a majority of the workmen concerned may refer the dispute to that Tribunal."

According to this amendment of Madras, a majority of workmen or the